

SECRET

25 June 1968

Group 1: Resource Issues

NRO REVIEW COMPLETED

25X1C

ISSUE: Inclusion of [redacted] in the
FY 1970 Program

In view of the imminent change in sponsorship, expansion in operations seems unjustified. Maintaining status quo through FY 1970 would cost one percent less than the recommended [redacted] or [redacted] This looks like a better figure.

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

ISSUE: Closing of [redacted]

Alternative 1 appears to be preferable, especially if there are DoD facilities which could be used (as I'm sure there are). I cannot see much usefulness in a stand-by status which costs over [redacted] per year and does not give even the minimum operational capability available at [redacted] Also, could not savings be accomplished in FY 1969 by instituting a changed status sooner?

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A

ISSUE: Continuation of the [redacted] Program

No comment.

25X1A

ISSUE: [redacted] Mission and Construction Program

No comment.

ISSUE: Increased Paramilitary Program in Laos

I do not see how one can support [redacted]

[redacted] if one needs [redacted] to support [redacted] men [redacted]. The proper cost for [redacted] men should be about [redacted] even including inflation. But more important, lacking a policy decision or at least a strong indication that a decision will be made, there seems to be no reason to provide funds to implement such a decision. It would seem that the policy decision would automatically include provision for the associated funding increase. I recommend holding approval for FY 1970 to [redacted] the same as 1969.

25X1A

25X1A
25X1A
25X1A
25X1A

25X1A

NRO
25X1

SECRET

GROUP 1
Excluded from automatic
downgrading and
declassification

~~SECRET~~

ISSUE: Funding Level for Vietnam Activities

No comment.

ISSUE: RDC Phase-Out

No comment.

25X1A

ISSUE:

No comment.

25X1A

ISSUE: Records Management -- New Records Storage Facility

Alternative 3 is preferred, of course, but discarding records is a hard job and probably must be done in a central fashion rather than by Directorates.

25X1A

ISSUE: Maintenance of a Contingency Reaction Stockpile to Support a Paramilitary Force

No comment.

25X6

ISSUE:

No comment.

ISSUE: Procurement of Aircraft to Modernize Agency Air Support Capability

No comment.

25X1A

ISSUE:

No comment.

~~SECRET~~

ISSUE: A Community Information Handling System

No comment.

ISSUE:

[Redacted]

25X1A

No comment.

Group 2: General Program Trends for Discussion with BoB

25X1C

1. [Redacted] Modernization. No comment.
2. After Vietnam. No comment.
3. DD/I Reprogramming of Manpower. No comment.
4. Increased Costs Connected with Technical Collection. No comment.
5. BALPA. No comment.
6. Five-Year ADP Plan. No comment
7. CA Organizational Studies. No comment.
8. [Redacted] I do not think it politic at this time to forecast considerable resource increases for FY 1971 and beyond for [Redacted]. This is primarily because if this program has reasonable success, expensive resources such as [Redacted] and II and others can be cut back. Conversely if [Redacted] is not proved out more resources will not be justified.

25X1A

25X1A

25X1A
25X1A

25X1A

9. BoB-Identified Program Issues. I have rather serious reservations about the short and long term cost-benefit factor for emplaced telemetry collection devices (b), in view of the many competing projects.
10. Special Studies. No comment.

Group 3: Topics Requiring Review During the Coming Year

No comment.